

JS-6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MIRYAM ABITBOL,
Plaintiff,
v.
CURRENT ENERGY, *et al.*,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-08132-FLA (BFMx)

**ORDER DISMISSING ACTION
[DKT. 37] AND DENYING AS
MOOT DEFENDANTS' MOTION
TO DISMISS [DKT. 20]**


1 On September 12, 2025, Plaintiff Miryam Abitbol and Defendants Current
2 Energy LLC and Kevin Adams (“Defendants”) filed a Notice of Settlement
3 (“Notice”), stating the parties had agreed to settle the action and anticipated filing a
4 stipulation to dismiss the action after satisfaction of the terms of settlement. Dkt. 37.

5 Having considered the Notice and finding good cause therefor, the court hereby
6 ORDERS:

- 7 1. All deadlines governing this action are VACATED.
- 8 2. Defendants’ motion to dismiss, Dkt. 20, is DENIED as moot;
- 9 3. The court DISMISSES the action without prejudice. The court retains
10 jurisdiction to vacate this Order and to reopen the action within sixty (60)
11 days from the date of this Order, provided any request by a party to do so
12 shall make a showing of good cause as to why the settlement has not
13 been completed within the 60-day period, what further settlement
14 processes are necessary, and when the party making such a request
15 reasonably expects the process to be concluded.
- 16 4. This Order does not preclude the filing of a stipulation of dismissal with
17 prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41, which does not require approval
18 of the court. Such stipulation shall be filed within the aforementioned
19 60-day period, or by such later date ordered by the court pursuant to a
20 stipulation by the parties that conforms to the requirements of a showing
21 of good cause stated above.

22
23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24
25 Dated: September 15, 2025

26
27 
28 FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA
United States District Judge